

GUIDE

The use and abuse of the Insolvency Act in matrimonial claims

Some might say that *"hell hath no fury like a spouse scorned"*. Perhaps the fury might be fuelled further if the scorned spouse is obstructed by attempts to put assets out of reach by a strategic insolvency.

But **how successful is the insolvency strategy of the obstructive spouse?** A number of recent cases have caught the headlines, such as those involving the late Scot Young and Michael Prest. In each case a husband sought to frustrate his former wife, and the Court, by hiding his assets in response to a claim for financial support.

What may not be familiar to practitioners advising spouses in similar situations is the provisions in the Insolvency Act 1986 (the Act) that may assist in setting aside a transaction entered into by the obstructive spouse who is subject to an insolvency procedure, such as a voluntary arrangement or bankruptcy. For example, section 423 of the Act includes provision for not just a Trustee in Bankruptcy (TiB) but any creditor or victim (including a spouse with an unsatisfied financial order) to seek to set aside avoidance transactions.

The Court will need to be satisfied that the bankrupt has deliberately put the asset out of reach of his creditors but it is enough that the intention to put assets out of reach is a substantial factor rather than dominant purpose. Moreover section 423 is extra territorial, whilst limitation is also benevolent: in any action brought by the *"victim"*, limitation is 12 years; and if the obstructive spouse is insolvent, the TiB has 12 years from his appointment to apply to the court. In those circumstances, a Bankruptcy Judge can, amongst other things, order the transfer of

assets back to the debtor's TiB or even to the aggrieved spouse, as was the finding in a recent case in which we acted for a *"victim"*.

Practitioners will also be familiar with the scenario of a TiB challenging a former spouse's entitlement to an asset that was transferred pursuant to an order in ancillary relief proceedings. In light of the recent case of *Sands v Singh* [2016], where the TiB failed to show undervalue in the transaction, a TiB will find it harder to overturn such a transfer in the absence of dishonesty or collusion between the parties. But how does that sit with section 423 of the Act? What happens where the evidence suggests lawyers for one spouse knew of the imminent bankruptcy of the other spouse but did not share this information with their client or the Court, as happened in a recent case in which we acted for the TiB? Moreover, a TiB has additional power to challenge periodical payments on the basis that the receiving spouse is being preferred to other creditors.

Finally, be aware of the trapdoor that is section 284 of the Act, which declares any transaction entered into by a debtor between the presentation of a petition and a bankruptcy order is void. This includes property adjustment orders; the unwitting matrimonial court is not validating the obstructive spouse's scheme, which may still be set aside by the Insolvency and Companies Court.





The Restructuring & Insolvency team at Edwin Coe has experience of assisting practitioners and advising spouses in these situations. We have particular expertise in pursuing complex, cross-border claims against wealthy individuals.



Our team is top ranked by the independently-researched legal directory Chambers UK 2019, for our Personal Insolvency practice, and it recommends Partners, Ali Zaidi and Simeon Gilchrist individually. According to clients in Chambers, Ali Zaidi is *“professional, approachable and has a commercial understanding of the ground realities,”* and another client says of Simeon Gilchrist: *“If I have an insolvency-based issue I can't get my head around, he is the closest I have to a go-to guy for getting me out of the woods.”*

Our Team

If you would like further advice please contact **Ali Zaidi** – Head of Litigation and Insolvency, or any member of the Restructuring & Insolvency Team.

For further information please contact us using the details in this document, or email a team member direct: firstname.surname@edwincoe.com

For individual profiles please visit our website: www.edwincoe.com



Ali Zaidi
Head of Litigation and Insolvency
t: +44 (0)20 7691 4074



Simeon Gilchrist
Partner
t: +44 (0)20 7691 4166



David Fendt
Senior Associate
t: +44 (0)20 7691 4138



Sophia Bompas
Associate
t: +44 (0)20 7691 4184



Christopher Berry
Consultant
t: +44 (0)20 7691 4051

Edwin Coe LLP
2 Stone Buildings
Lincoln's Inn
London
WC2A 3TH
t: +44 (0)20 7691 4000
e: info@edwincoe.com
edwincoe.com

Edwin Coe LLP is a Limited Liability Partnership, registered in England & Wales (No.OC326366). The Firm is authorised and regulated by the Solicitors Regulation Authority. A list of members of the LLP is available for inspection at our registered office address: 2 Stone Buildings, Lincoln's Inn, London, WC2A 3TH. "Partner" denotes a member of the LLP or an employee or consultant with the equivalent standing. **This guide concerns the law in England and Wales and is intended for general guidance purposes only. It is essential to take specific legal advice before taking any action**

